

The planar and toroidal crossing numbers of K_n

Richard K. Guy ^{*)}

This note is a summary of references [1,2] given below. The crossing number, $cr(G)$, of a graph G has been defined [3] as the least number of crossings, or common points of arcs other than nodes, in any drawing, of G on a plane or sphere, in which the nodes of G are mapped into points, and the arcs into continuous curves on the plane. A minimal drawing is one in which the crossing number is attained; it does not contain an arc which crosses itself, nor two arcs with more than one point in common. These definitions extend to mappings on orientable surfaces of genus γ , in particular, $\gamma = 1$, to the toroidal crossing number, $cr_1(G)$. We also define the corresponding geodesic crossing numbers, $\overline{cr}(G)$, in which the arcs are mapped into geodesics of the surface.

It is known [1] that

$$cr(K_n) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{1}{2} n \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} (n-1) \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} (n-2) \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} (n-3) \right], \quad (1)$$

where K_n is the complete graph on n nodes, and brackets mean 'entier', but the following construction, which also yields (1), is believed to be new. Consider the vertices of a regular n -gon. Join them by straight segments if these are in directions within a given quadrant, and otherwise make joins outside (e.g., the inverses of straight joins). It has been verified that equality holds in (1) for $n \leq 16$, and it can be shown that

$$cr(K_n) \geq \frac{11}{34} \left(\frac{n}{4} \right), \quad n \geq 17. \quad (2)$$

However, KAINEN [4] has recently shown that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} cr(K_n)/n^4 = \frac{1}{64}. \quad (3)$$

^{*)} Work supported by the National Research Council of Canada, grant A-4011

For the geodesic crossing number, HARARY and HILL [3] raised the question on the plane, while MOON [5] threw light on the sphere, showing

$$\overline{cr}(K_n) \leq \frac{3}{8} \binom{n}{4}, \quad (4)$$

so that the limit (3) is the same for $\overline{cr}(K_n)$ on the sphere, whereas on the plane the situation may be different [3].

On the torus, actual drawings show that for

$$\begin{array}{rcccccccccccc} n & = & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \\ cr_1(K_n) & \leq & 0 & 4 & 9 & 23 & 42 & 70 & 105 & 154 & 226 & 326. \end{array}$$

Equality can be established in the first four cases, e.g. suppose that K_9 can be drawn on the torus with m crossings, inducing a map with $V = 9 + m$ vertices, $E = \binom{9}{2} + 2m$ edges, and hence $F = 27 + m$ regions, so that $3(27 + m) = 3F \leq 2E = 2(45 + 2m)$, and $m \geq 9$. Whether $cr_1(K_{11}) = 42$ remains a strong conjecture.

From these results the lower bound

$$cr_1(K_n) \geq \frac{4}{35} \binom{n}{4}, \quad n \geq 15, \quad (5)$$

can be deduced, while actual construction shows

$$cr_1(K_n) \leq \frac{59}{216} \binom{n-1}{4}. \quad (6)$$

The methods of MOON [5] show for the geodesic toroidal crossing number, that

$$\overline{cr}_1(K_n) \leq \frac{5}{18} \binom{n}{4}. \quad (7)$$

GERHARD RINGEL (Varena, 1966) introduced the local crossing number, $l(G)$ of a graph G as the minimum, over all drawings of G , of the maximum, over all arcs, number of crossings on an arc. This, and the nodal crossing number, $n(G)$, where the maximum, over all nodes, is of the total number of crossings on arcs incident with a node, generalize to other orientable surfaces, and it can be shown that

$$\frac{11}{102} \binom{n-2}{2} \leq l(K_n) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} (n-1) \right] \left[\frac{1}{2} (n-3) \right], \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{4}{105} \binom{n-2}{2} \leq l_1(K_n) \leq \frac{5}{12} (n+1)(n-5), \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{4}{35} \binom{n-1}{3} \leq n_1(K_n) \leq \frac{59}{216} \binom{n-2}{3}. \quad (10)$$

KAINEN's work [4] suggests that progress might be made by attacking the corresponding problems for the complete bipartite graph.

Zusatz während des Druckes:

In einem Brief vom 16. April 1968 teilt Professor GUY mit, daß der Satz

"However, KAINEN [4] has recently shown that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} cr(K_n)/n^4 = \frac{1}{64} \quad (3)$$

nicht korrekt ist. Die Arbeit [4] wurde, soweit Professor GUY bekannt ist, inzwischen zurückgezogen.

SACHS

References

- [1] Guy, R.K.: A combinatorial problem, Nabla (Bull. Malayan Math. Soc.), 7 (1960), 68-72.
- [2] Guy, R.K.; Jenkyns, T.; Schaer, J.: The toroidal crossing number of the complete graph, (submitted to) J. Comb. Theory.
- [3] Harary, F.; Hill, A.: On the number of crossings in a complete graph, Proc. Edin. Math. Soc. (2), 13 (1962-3), 333-338.
- [4] Kainen, P.: On a problem of Erdős, (submitted to) J. Comb. Theory.
- [5] Moon, J.W.: On the distribution of crossings in random complete graphs, SIAM Journal, 13 (1965), 506-510.