

# Rainbow saturation and graph capacities

Dániel Korándi \*

## Abstract

The  $t$ -colored rainbow saturation number  $\text{rsat}_t(n, F)$  is the minimum size of a  $t$ -edge-colored graph on  $n$  vertices that contains no rainbow copy of  $F$ , but the addition of any missing edge in any color creates such a rainbow copy. Barrus, Ferrara, Vandenbussche and Wenger conjectured that  $\text{rsat}_t(n, K_s) = \Theta(n \log n)$  for every  $s \geq 3$  and  $t \geq \binom{s}{2}$ . In this short note we prove the conjecture in a strong sense, asymptotically determining the rainbow saturation number for triangles. Our lower bound is probabilistic in spirit, the upper bound is based on the Shannon capacity of a certain family of cliques.

## 1 Introduction

A graph  $G$  is called  $F$ -saturated if it is a maximal  $F$ -free graph. The classic saturation problem, first studied by Zykov [14] and Erdős, Hajnal and Moon [4], asks for the minimum number of edges in an  $F$ -saturated graph (as opposed to the Turán problem, which asks for the maximum number of edges in such a graph). A rainbow analog of this problem was recently introduced by Barrus, Ferrara, Vandenbussche and Wenger [1], where a  $t$ -edge-colored graph is defined to be *rainbow  $F$ -saturated* if it contains no rainbow copy of  $F$  (i.e., a copy of  $F$  where all edges have different colors), but the addition of any missing edge in any color creates such a rainbow copy. Then the  $t$ -colored rainbow saturation number  $\text{rsat}_t(n, F)$  is the minimum size of a  $t$ -edge-colored rainbow  $F$ -saturated graph.

Among other results, Barrus et al. showed that  $\Omega\left(\frac{n \log n}{\log \log n}\right) \leq \text{rsat}_t(n, K_s) \leq O(n \log n)$  and conjectured that their upper bound is of the right order of magnitude:

**Conjecture 1.1** ([1]). *For  $s \geq 3$  and  $t \geq \binom{s}{2}$ ,  $\text{rsat}_t(n, K_s) = \Theta(n \log n)$ .*

Here we prove this conjecture in a strong sense: we give a lower bound that is asymptotically tight for triangles.

**Theorem 1.2.** *For  $s \geq 3$  and  $t \geq \binom{s}{2}$ , we have*

$$\text{rsat}_t(n, K_s) \geq \frac{t(1 + o(1))}{(t - s + 2) \log(t - s + 2)} n \log n$$

*with equality for  $s = 3$ .*

We should point out that Conjecture 1.1 was independently verified by Girão, Lewis and Popielarz [9] and by Ferrara et al. [5], but with somewhat weaker bounds. In fact, our result proves a conjecture in [9], establishing the stronger estimate  $\text{rsat}_t(n, K_s) = \Theta_s\left(\frac{n \log n}{\log t}\right)$  with their upper bound.

---

\*Institute of Mathematics, EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland. Research supported in part by SNSF grants 200020-162884 and 200021-175977. Email: daniel.korandi@epfl.ch.

Our lower bound is probabilistic in spirit, using ideas of Katona and Szemerédi [10], and Füredi, Horak, Pareek and Zhu [6] (similar techniques were used in [12, 2, 11]). The upper bound for  $s = 3$  is based on the following theorem that follows from a strong information-theoretic result of Gargano, Körner and Vaccaro [8] on the Shannon capacities of graph families.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For every  $t \geq 3$ , there is a set  $X \subseteq [t]^k$  of  $m = (t-1)^{\binom{t-1}{t} - o(1)k}$  strings of length  $k$  from alphabet  $[t] = \{1, \dots, t\}$  such that for any  $x, x' \in X$  and any  $a \in [t]$ , there is a position  $i$  where  $x(i) \neq x'(i)$  and  $x(i), x'(i) \neq a$ .*

In the next section we derive Theorem 1.3 from results about the Shannon capacity of graph families. This is followed by the proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 3.

## 2 Graph capacities

Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_r\}$  be a family of graphs on vertex set  $[t]$ . Let  $N_k$  be the maximum size of a set  $X \subseteq [t]^k$  of strings of length  $k$  on alphabet  $[t]$  such that for any two strings  $x, x' \in X$  and any  $G_j \in \mathcal{G}$ , there is a position  $i_j \in [k]$  such that  $x(i_j)x'(i_j)$  is an edge in  $G_j$ . The *Shannon capacity* of the family  $\mathcal{G}$  is defined as  $C(\mathcal{G}) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log N_k$  (see, e.g., [13, 3]<sup>1</sup>). When  $\mathcal{G} = \{G\}$ , we simply write  $C(G)$  for  $C(\mathcal{G})$ .

We need an analogous definition for strings where the occurrences of each  $a \in [t]$  are proportional to some probability measure  $P$  on  $[t]$ . So let  $\mathcal{T}^k(P, \varepsilon)$  be the set of all strings  $x \in [t]^k$  such that  $|\frac{1}{k} \#\{i : x(i) = a\} - P(a)| < \varepsilon$  for every  $a \in [t]$ , and let  $M_{k, \varepsilon}$  be the maximum size of a set  $X \subseteq \mathcal{T}^k(P, \varepsilon)$  such that for every  $x, x' \in X$  there is an  $i$  with  $x(i)x'(i) \in G$ . The Shannon capacity within type  $P$  is  $C(G, P) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log M_{k, \varepsilon}$ . Using a clever construction, Gargano, Körner and Vaccaro [8] showed that  $C(\mathcal{G})$  can be expressed in terms of the  $C(G_j, P)$ :

**Theorem 2.1** ([8]). *For a family of graphs  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_r\}$  on vertex set  $[t]$ , we have*

$$C(\mathcal{G}) = \max_P \min_{G_j \in \mathcal{G}} C(G_j, P).$$

In fact, they proved a more general result for *Sperner capacities*, the analogous notion for directed graphs. What we need is a corollary that follows easily from this theorem using standard tools about graph entropy (see the survey of Simonyi [13] for more information). Here we give a self-contained argument that goes along the lines of a proof by Gargano, Körner and Vaccaro [7] of the case  $s = 2$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $2 \leq s \leq t$  be an integer and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the family of all  $s$ -cliques on  $[t]$  (each with  $t - s$  isolated vertices). Then  $C(\mathcal{G}) = \frac{s}{t} \log s$ .*

*Proof.* For the lower bound, we can take  $P$  to be the uniform measure on  $[t]$ . Then by Theorem 2.1, it is enough to show that  $C(G, P) \geq \frac{s}{t} \log s$  where  $G$  is a clique on  $[s]$  with isolated vertices  $s + 1, \dots, t$ . Let  $X_k \subseteq \mathcal{T}^k(P, \frac{1}{k})$  be the set of all strings  $x$  of length  $k$  such that the first  $\lfloor sk/t \rfloor$

---

<sup>1</sup>The usual definition is with binary logarithm, but the base of our logarithms is unimportant for our purposes.

letters of  $x$  contain  $\lfloor k/t \rfloor$  or  $\lceil k/t \rceil$  instances of each  $a \in [s]$ , and  $x(i) = b$  for every  $s+1 \leq b \leq t$  and  $\frac{(b-1)k}{t} < i \leq \frac{bk}{t}$ . Then

$$C(G, P) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(X_k)}{k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log \frac{\left(\frac{sk}{t}\right)!}{\left(\left(\frac{k}{t}\right)!\right)^s} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log(s^{sk/t}) = \frac{s}{t} \log s.$$

For the upper bound, let  $X \subseteq [t]^k$  be a maximum set of strings such that for any  $x, x' \in X$  and for every  $s$ -clique  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ , there is an  $i \in [k]$  such that  $x(i)x'(i) \in G$ . We set  $m = |X|$  to be this maximum. We may assume that  $\{1, \dots, s\}$  are the  $s$  least frequent elements appearing in the strings of  $X$ . Let  $d_x$  be the number of elements in  $x \in X$  that are not in  $[s]$ , so  $\sum_{x \in X} d_x \geq \frac{t-s}{t}mk$ , and let  $X_x$  be the set of strings obtained from  $x$  by replacing these elements arbitrarily with numbers from  $[s]$ . Then  $|X_x| = s^{d_x}$ , and  $X_x, X_{x'}$  are disjoint for distinct  $x, x' \in X$  because any string from  $X_x$  will differ from any string in  $X_{x'}$  at the position  $i$  where  $x(i)x'(i)$  is an edge of the clique on  $[s]$ . Then using Jensen's inequality we have

$$s^k \geq \sum_{x \in X} s^{d_x} \geq m \cdot s^{(\sum_{x \in X} d_x)/m} \geq m \cdot s^{\frac{(t-s)k}{t}},$$

and hence  $m \leq s^{sk/t}$ , implying  $C(\mathcal{G}) \leq \frac{1}{k} \log m \leq \frac{s}{t} \log s$ .  $\square$

Theorem 1.3 clearly follows from the case  $s = t - 1$ .

### 3 Rainbow saturation

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* For the lower bound, suppose  $H$  is a  $t$ -edge-colored rainbow  $K_s$ -saturated graph, and split its vertices into two parts: let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  be the set of vertices of degree at least  $d = \log^3 n$ , and  $B$  be the rest. We may assume  $|A| \leq \frac{n}{\log n}$  (otherwise  $H$  has at least  $\frac{1}{2}n \log^2 n$  edges), and thus  $B$  contains  $m \geq (1 - \frac{1}{\log n})n$  vertices. Now let us define a string  $x_v \subseteq [t+1]^k$  for every  $v \in B$  that encodes the colors of the  $A$ - $B$  edges touching  $v$  as follows:  $x_v(i)$  is  $t+1$  if  $a_i v$  is not an edge in  $H$ , otherwise it is the color of  $a_i v$ .

Assume, without loss of generality, that  $t-s+3, \dots, t$  are the  $s-2$  most common colors among the  $A$ - $B$  edges. For  $v \in B$ , let  $X_v \subseteq [t-s+2]^k$  be the set of strings obtained from  $x_v$  by replacing each  $t-s+3, \dots, t+1$  with an arbitrary number from  $[t-s+2]$ . Then if  $d_v$  denotes the number of  $A$ - $B$  edges in  $H$  touching  $v$  and  $d'_v$  denotes the number of such edges of colors  $t-s+3, \dots, t$ , then  $|X_v| = (t-s+2)^{k-d_v+d'_v}$ .

We claim that if  $v, w \in B$  are non-adjacent with no common neighbor in  $B$ , then  $X_v$  and  $X_w$  have no string in common. Indeed, adding the edge  $vw$  of color  $t$  creates a rainbow  $K_s$  with  $s-2$  vertices in  $A$ . So there must be an  $a_i$  such that  $a_i v$  and  $a_i w$  have different colors, also differing from  $t-s+3, \dots, t$ . But then all the strings in  $X_v$  have the color of  $a_i v$  as their  $i$ 'th letter, and all the strings in  $X_w$  have the color of  $a_i w$  as their  $i$ 'th letter, so  $X_v$  and  $X_w$  are disjoint.

Since vertices in  $B$  have degree at most  $d$ , each  $v \in B$  has at most  $d^2$  vertices  $w \in B$  that are either adjacent to  $v$  or have a common neighbor with  $v$  in  $B$ . So each string in  $[t-s+2]^k$  can appear

in no more than  $d^2 + 1$  collections  $X_w$ , and hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} (d^2 + 1)(t - s + 2)^k &\geq \sum_{v \in B} |X_v| = \sum_{v \in B} (t - s + 2)^{k - d_v + d'_v} \\ d^2 + 1 &\geq \sum_{v \in B} (t - s + 2)^{d'_v - d_v} \geq m \cdot (t - s + 2)^{\frac{1}{m}(\sum_{v \in B} d'_v - \sum_{v \in B} d_v)} \end{aligned}$$

using Jensen's inequality.

Now  $t - s + 3, \dots, t$  were the  $s - 2$  most common colors, so we also have  $\sum_{v \in B} d'_v \geq \frac{s-2}{t} \sum_{v \in B} d_v$  and thus  $\sum_{v \in B} d'_v - \sum_{v \in B} d_v \geq \frac{s-2-t}{t} \sum_{v \in B} d_v$ . Taking logs, we obtain

$$\sum_{v \in B} d_v \geq \frac{t}{t - s + 2} m (\log_{t-s+2} m - \log_{t-s+2}(d^2 + 1)).$$

As the left-hand side is a lower bound on the number of edges in  $H$ , this establishes the desired lower bound (using  $d = \log^3 n$  and  $m = n + o(n)$ ).

For the upper bound in the case of triangles, let  $k$  be large enough, and take a set  $X$  of size  $m$  as provided by Theorem 1.3. Consider a  $k$ -by- $m$  complete bipartite graph  $G_0$  with parts  $A$  and  $B$ , where  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ , and  $B$  corresponds to the strings in  $X$ . For every vertex  $v \in B$ , we look at the corresponding string  $x \in X$ , and color each edge  $va_i$  by the color  $x(i)$ .  $G_0$  is clearly (rainbow) triangle-free, and by the definition of  $X$ , adding an edge to  $G_0$  between two vertices of  $B$  in any color  $a \in [t]$  creates a rainbow triangle.

Now let  $G$  be a maximal rainbow triangle-free supergraph of  $G_0$ . Then  $G$  is rainbow triangle-saturated by definition, and compared to  $G_0$ , it only has new edges induced by  $A$ , thus it has at most  $km + \binom{k}{2}$  edges. Here  $n = k + m$  and  $k = \frac{t(1+o(1))}{(t-1)\log(t-1)} \log m$ , implying the required upper bound.  $\square$

For  $s > 3$  our lower bound is probably not tight. It would be interesting to determine the asymptotics of  $\text{rsat}_t(n, K_s)$  for general  $s$ .

**Acknowledgements.** I thank Shagnik Das for finding [7] for me, and Gábor Simonyi for some clarifications about capacities.

## References

- [1] M. D. Barrus, M. Ferrara, J. Vandenbussche and P. S. Wenger, Colored saturation parameters for rainbow subgraphs, *J. Graph Theory*, **86** (2017), 375-386.
- [2] B. Bollobás and A. Scott, Separating systems and oriented graphs of diameter two, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **97** (2007), 193-203.
- [3] I. Csiszár and J. Körner, *Information Theory*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [4] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal and J.W. Moon, A problem in graph theory, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **71** (1964), 1107-1110.

- [5] M. Ferrara, D. Johnston, S. Loeb, F. Pfender, A. Schulte, H. C. Smith, E. Sullivan, M. Tait and C. Tompkins, On edge-colored saturation problems, arXiv:1712.00163 preprint
- [6] Z. Füredi, P. Horak, C. M. Pareek and X. Zhu, Minimal oriented graphs of diameter 2, *Graphs Combin.* **14** (1998), 345-350.
- [7] L. Gargano, J. Körner and U. Vaccaro, Sperner capacities, *Graphs Combin.*, **9** (1993), 31-46.
- [8] L. Gargano, J. Körner and U. Vaccaro, Capacities: from information theory to extremal set theory, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **68** (1994), 296-316.
- [9] A. Girão, D. Lewis and K. Popielarz, Rainbow saturation of graphs, arXiv:1710.08025 preprint
- [10] G. Katona and E. Szemerédi, On a problem of graph theory, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **2** (1967), 23-28.
- [11] D. Korándi and B. Sudakov, Saturation in random graphs, *Random Structures Algorithms* **51** (2017), 169-181.
- [12] A. V. Kostochka, T. Łuczak, G. Simonyi and E. Sopena, On the minimum number of edges giving maximum oriented chromatic number, in: Contemporary Trends in Discrete Mathematics, *DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, vol 49. (1999), 179-182.
- [13] G. Simonyi, Perfect graphs and graph entropy. An updated survey, in: Perfect Graphs, Wiley (2001), 293-328.
- [14] A. Zykov, On some properties of linear complexes (in Russian), *Mat. Sbornik N. S.* **24** (1949), 163-188.